arXiv:1511.08095v1 [math.AG] 25 Nov 2015

LIMITS OF TANGENTS OF SURFACES

JOÃO CABRAL AND ORLANDO NETO

Abstract. We compute the limit of tangents of an arbitrary surface. We obtain as a byproduct an embedded version of Jung's desingularization theorem for surface singularities with finite limits of tangents.

1. Introduction

Let S be a singular surface of the germ of a complex analytical manifold M at a point o. Theorem 2.3.7 of [5] states that the limit of tangents $\Sigma_o(S)$ is the union of the dual of the tangent cone $C_o(S)$ of S with a finite set of projective lines of $\mathbb{P}^*_oM$.

Theorem 1.4.4.1 of [6] gives a criteria to decide if a certain projective line is contained or not in $\Sigma_o(S)$, provided a non degeneracy condition is verified. The proof relies on a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Gamma & \leftarrow & \widetilde{\Gamma} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ S & \leftarrow & \widetilde{S} \end{array}$$

where $\widetilde{S}$ is the strict transform of S by the blow up $\pi : \widetilde{M} \to M$ of M at o, and Γ is the conormal of S. Hence $S \subset M$, $\widetilde{S} \subset \widetilde{M}$ and $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{P}^*M$: The surface $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ has a more enigmatic status. If we had an immersion of $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ into a manifold $\mathcal{X}$ endowed with a symplectic structure we could iterate the process, blowing up $\widetilde{M}$ and eventually lifting the need for the non degeneracy condition. Unfortunately there can be no such symplectic structure on $\mathcal{X}$.

Theorem 8 presents a new proof of Theorem 2.3.7 of [5]. It sheds a new light on the problem. Set $E = \pi^{-1}(o)$. There is a vector bundle $T^*\langle\widetilde{M}/E\rangle$ on $\widetilde{M}$ with sheaf of sections the locally free $\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{M}}$-module of logarithmic differential forms on $\widetilde{M}$ with poles along E. Let $\pi_{\widetilde{M}} : \mathbb{P}^*\langle\widetilde{M}/E\rangle \to \widetilde{M}$ be the associated projective bundle. There is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{P}^*M & \xleftarrow{\widehat{\pi}} & \mathbb{P}^*\langle\widetilde{M}/E\rangle \\ \pi_M \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_{\widetilde{M}} \\ M & \xleftarrow{\pi} & \widetilde{M} \end{array} \tag{1}$$

where $\widehat{\pi}$ is blow up of $\mathbb{P}^*M$ along $\pi_M^{-1}(o)$. The projectivization $\mathbb{P}^*\langle\widetilde{M}/E\rangle$ of the vector bundle $T^*\langle\widetilde{M}/E\rangle$ provide an ambient space for $\widetilde{\Gamma}$, which is

Date: November 26, 2015.

a *Legendrian variety* of $\mathbb{P}^*\langle\widetilde{M}/E\rangle$, the strict transform of Γ by $\widehat{\pi}$ and the *conormal* of $\widetilde{S}$.

There is a canonical embedding of the projective cotangent bundle $\mathbb{P}^*E$ into $\mathbb{P}^*\langle\widetilde{M}/E\rangle$. Moreover, $\widetilde{\Gamma}' = \widetilde{\Gamma} \cap \pi^{-1}_{\widetilde{M}}(E)$ is contained in $\mathbb{P}^*E$ and $\widetilde{\Gamma}'$ is a Legendrian variety of $\mathbb{P}^*E$. Since $\pi_{\widetilde{M}}(\Gamma') = \widetilde{S} \cap E$, there are $\sigma_1, ..., \sigma_n \in \widetilde{S} \cap E$ such that

$$\widetilde{\Gamma}' = \mathbb{P}^*_{\widetilde{S}\cap E}E \cup \cup_i \mathbb{P}^*_{\sigma_i}E.$$

Here $\mathbb{P}^*_{\widetilde{S}\cap E}E$ denotes the *conormal* of the curve $\widetilde{S} \cap E$, the smallest Legendrian curve of $\mathbb{P}^*E$ that projects on $\widetilde{S} \cap E$, and $\mathbb{P}^*_{\sigma_i}E$ denotes the fiber at σ_i of $\mathbb{P}^*E$. The restriction of $\widehat{\pi}$ to $\mathbb{P}^*E$ defines a map

$$\widehat{\pi} : \mathbb{P}^*E \to \mathbb{P}^*_oM.$$

Moreover,

$$\Sigma_o(S) = \widehat{\pi}(\mathbb{P}^*_{\widetilde{S}\cap E}E \cup \cup_i \mathbb{P}^*_{\sigma_i}E).$$

More precisely, the dual curve of $\widetilde{S} \cap E$ is the image of the Legendrian curve $\mathbb{P}^*_{\widetilde{S}\cap E}E$ and the pencils of planes are the images of the projective lines $\mathbb{P}^*_{\sigma_i}E$.

There is a natural logarithmic generalization of the notion of limit of tangents. The surface $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ is the *conormal* of the surface $\widetilde{S}$, in a sense that will be precised in section 2. We call $\Sigma^E_\sigma(\widetilde{S}) = \widetilde{\Gamma} \cap \pi^{-1}_{\widetilde{M}}(\sigma)$ the *logarithmic limit of tangents of* $\widetilde{S}$ *at* σ*, with poles along* E.

We reduce in this way the computation of the limit of tangents of a surface to the problem of deciding if, given $\sigma \in \widetilde{S} \cap E$, $\Sigma^E_\sigma(\widetilde{S}) = \mathbb{P}^*_\sigma E$ or $\Sigma^E_\sigma(\widetilde{S})$ is finite. This problem is solved by Theorem 24.

The introduction of the notion of logarithmic limit of tangents allows us to iterate the construction that gives us a new proof of Theorem 2.3.7 of [5]. In order to compute the limit of tangents we need to introduce a canonical process of reduction of singularities for surfaces. In general this process will terminate before we desingularize the surface S, giving us enough information to compute the limit of tangents of S. If the limit of tangents of S is finite, the process will terminate when all singular points of some strict transform of S are quasi ordinary. See Theorem 25. We obtain in this way an embedded version of Jung's desingularization algorithm.

Let C be the singular locus of S. Roughly speaking, the algorithm of reduction of singularities proceeds in the following way:

(a) We blow up M at o;
(b) Given a regular point σ of the inverse image N of o by the sequence of blow ups, we blow up σ if σ is a singular point of the strict transform $\widetilde{C}$ of C or $\widetilde{C}$ is not transversal to N at σ.
(c) If a strict transform $\widetilde{S}$ of S by the sequence of blow ups contains a connected component W of the singular locus of N, we blow up W.

(d) If σ is an isolated point of $\widetilde{S} \cap W$, there is a local plane projection ρ such that $\rho^{-1}(\rho(N)) = N$. If the germ at $\rho(\sigma)$ of the discriminant $\Delta_\rho S$ is not contained in $\rho(N)$, we blow up W.

In cases $(c), (d)$ this algorithm works in a very similar way to Jung's algorithm. In case (b) the singular locus of S takes the place of the discriminant of S.

In section 2 we introduce some basic notions of Logarithmic Contact Geometry. In section 3 we introduce the notion of logarithmic limits of tangents and compute logarithmic limits of tangents of quasi-ordinary surfaces. The fact that a surface S is quasi-ordinary relative to a given projection does not mean that it is quasi ordinary relatively to another projection. The situations changes if the limit of tangents of the surface is finite. This fact is a key argument in the proofs of Theorems 6 and 7. These Theorems relate the logarithmic limit of tangents of a surface with its discriminant, in the spirit of one of the statements of Theorem 1.4.4.1 of [6]. Section 5 studies the logarithmic limits of tangents $\Sigma^N_\sigma(S)$ when σ is a singular normal crossings point of N. Here the main tool is the first sequence of blow ups. It reduces the computation of $\Sigma^N_\sigma(S)$ when σ is a singular point of N to the computation of $\Sigma^N_\sigma(S)$ when σ is a regular point of N. In section 6 we study the behaviour of $\Sigma^N_\sigma(S)$ by blow up when the non degeneracy condition $C_\sigma(S)$ *does not contain* $C_\sigma(N)$ is verified.

In section 7 we study the behaviour of $\Sigma^N_\sigma(S)$ by blow up without assuming the non degeneracy condition. This is the longest and the more technical section of the paper. In Differential Geometry it is sometimes unavoidable the use of long computations involving systems of local coordinates. Here the main tool is the second sequence of blow ups, that will be the building block of the reduction of singularities procedure that decides if $\Sigma^N_\sigma(S) = \Sigma^N_\sigma$, when σ is a regular point of N.

In section 8 we state the main results and use them to compute several limits of tangents.

The second named author would like to thank Bernard Teissier and Le Dung Trang, who showed him the beauty of Singularity Theory.

2. Logarithmic Contact Geometry

All manifolds considered in this paper are complex analytic manifolds. Let N be a normal crossings divisor of a manifold M. We will denote by $\Omega^1_M\langle N\rangle$ the *sheaf of logarithmic differential forms on M with poles along N*. If $N = \emptyset$, $\Omega^1_M\langle N\rangle$ equals the sheaf Ω^1_M of differential forms on M.

We will denote by $T^*\langle M/N\rangle$ the vector bundle with sheaf of sections $\Omega^1_M\langle N\rangle$. We call $\pi_M : T^*\langle M/N\rangle \to M$ the *logarithmic cotangent bundle of M with poles along N*. If $N = \emptyset$, $T^*\langle M/N\rangle$ equals the cotangent bundle T^*M of M.

There is a canonical logarithmic 1-form θ_N on $T^*\langle M/N\rangle$ that coincides with the canonical 1-form θ of T^*M outside of $\pi_M^{-1}(N)$.

We call the projectivization $\pi_M : \mathbb{P}^*\langle M/N\rangle \to M$ of $T^*\langle M/N\rangle$ the *logarithmic projective cotangent bundle of M with poles along N.*

Example 1. Assume $(x_1, ..., x_n)$ is a system of coordinates on a open set U of M such that $N \cap U = \{x_1 \cdots x_\nu = 0\}$. Given a differential form ω on U there are holomorphic functions $a_1, ..., a_n \in \mathcal{O}_M(U)$ such that

$$\omega = \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} a_i \frac{dx_i}{x_i} + \sum_{i=\nu+1}^{n} a_i dx_i.$$

There are $\xi_1, ..., \xi_n \in \mathcal{O}_{T^*\langle M/N\rangle}(\pi_M^{-1}(U))$ such that

$$\theta_N = \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} \xi_i \frac{dx_i}{x_i} + \sum_{i=\nu+1}^{n} \xi_i dx_i.$$

Assume $\dim M = n$. Let Γ be an analytic subset of dimension n of T^*M. We say that Γ is *conic* if Γ is invariant by the action of $\mathbb{C}^*$ on the fibers of T^*M. We say that Γ is a *Lagrangian variety* if the symplectic form $d\theta$ of T^*M vanishes on the regular part of Γ. Notice that Γ is a conic Lagrangian variety if and only if θ vanishes on the regular part of Γ.

We identify M with the graph of the zero section of T^*M. There is a canonical map $\gamma : T^*M \setminus M \to \mathbb{P}^*M$. We say that an analytic subset Γ of $\mathbb{P}^*M$ is a *Legendrian variety* of $\mathbb{P}^*M$ if $\gamma^{-1}(\Gamma)$ is a (conic) Lagrangian variety of T^*M.

Let S be a closed irreducible analytic subset of M. We call *conormal of* S to the smallest Legendrian variety Γ of $\mathbb{P}^*M$ such that $\pi_M(\Gamma) = S$. We will denote it by $\mathbb{P}^*_S M$. If S has irreducible components S_i, $i \in I$, we set $\mathbb{P}^*_S M = \cup_i \mathbb{P}^*_{S_i} M$.

Let Γ be a closed analytic subset of $\mathbb{P}^*\langle M/N\rangle$. Set $\Gamma' = \Gamma \cap \mathbb{P}^*(M \setminus N)$. We say that Γ is a *Legendrian variety* of $\mathbb{P}^*\langle M/N\rangle$ if Γ' is a Legendrian variety of $\mathbb{P}^*(M \setminus N)$ and Γ is the closure of Γ'.

Let S be an analytic subset of M such that S equals the closure of $S \setminus N$. We call *conormal* of S to the closure $\mathbb{P}^*_S\langle M/N\rangle$ of $\mathbb{P}^*_{S\setminus N}(M \setminus N)$

Given an irreducible Legendrian variety Γ of $\mathbb{P}^*\langle M/N\rangle$, $\Gamma = \mathbb{P}^*_S\langle M/N\rangle$, where $S = \pi_M(\Gamma)$. The proof follows the arguments of the equivalent proof in the classical case.

Lemma 2. (see [8]) *Assume N smooth. Then there is a canonical immersion of $\mathbb{P}^*N$ into $\mathbb{P}^*\langle M/N\rangle$. If Γ is a Legendrian variety of $\mathbb{P}^*\langle M/N\rangle$, then*

$$\Gamma_0 = \Gamma \cap \pi_M^{-1}(N) \subset \mathbb{P}^*N.$$

Moreover, Γ_0 is a Legendrian variety of $\mathbb{P}^*N$.

Section 5 studies the intersection of Γ with $\pi_M^{-1}(N)$ when N is a singular normal crossings divisor.

3. Logarithmic Limits of Tangents

Let M be a germ of a complex manifold of dimension 3 at a point o. Let N be a normal crossings divisor of M. Let S be a surface of M. Let

$$\Sigma_o^N(S) = \mathbb{P}^*_S\langle M/N\rangle \cap \mathbb{P}^*_o\langle M/N\rangle$$

be the *logarithimc limit of tangents of S along N at the point o.*

If N is empty we get the usual definition of limit of tangents of S at o. If N is smooth, set

$$\Sigma_o^N = \mathbb{P}^*_o N \subset \mathbb{P}^*_o\langle M/N\rangle.$$

Lemma 3. *If N is smooth, $\Sigma_o^N(S) \subset \Sigma_o^N$.*

Proof. It follows from Lemma 2. □

Let ρ be a submersion of M into a smooth surface X. We say that ρ is *compatible* with N if $\rho^{-1}(\rho(N)) = N$.

Let $\Xi_\rho(S)$ be the *apparent contour* of S relatively to the projection ρ. Let $\Delta_\rho(S) = \rho(\Xi_\rho(S))$ be the *discriminant* of S relatively to ρ.

Assume ρ is compatible with N. We call the closure $\Xi_\rho^N(S)$ of $\Xi_\rho(S) \setminus N$ the *logarithmic apparent contour* along N of S relatively to ρ.

We call *logarithmic singular set of S with respect to N* to the closure $\mathrm{Sing}^N(S)$ of $\mathrm{Sing}(S) \setminus N$, where $\mathrm{Sing}(S)$ is the singular locus of S.

We will fix systems of local coordinates (x, y, z), $[(x, y)]$ on M $[X]$ such that $o = (0, 0, 0)$ and $\rho(x, y, z) = (x, y)$. We set $\Delta_z(S) = \Delta_\rho(S)$.

Lemma 4. *If ρ is compatible with N and $\Delta_\rho(S) \subset \rho(N)$,*

$$\Sigma_o^N(S) = \{(0 : 0 : 1)\}.$$

Proof. We can assume $N = \{x = 0\}$ or $N = \{xy = 0\}$.

Consider the first case. The surface S admits a parametrization

$$x = t^k, \qquad z = t^n\varphi(t, y), \tag{2}$$

where $\varphi \in \mathbb{C}\{t, y\}$ and $\varphi(0, 0) \neq 0$. Replacing (2) in

$$\xi\frac{dx}{x} + \eta dy + \zeta dz \tag{3}$$

we conclude that $\mathbb{P}^*_S\langle M/N\rangle$ is contained in the image, by $(t, y; \xi : \eta : \zeta) \mapsto (t^k, y, t^n\varphi(t, y); \xi : \eta : \zeta)$, of the set defined by the equations

$$k\xi + t^n(n\varphi + t\partial_t\varphi)\zeta = 0, \qquad \eta + t^n\partial_y\varphi\zeta = 0.$$

The proof in the second case is similar. □

Given $\sigma \in S$, let $m_\sigma(S)$ denote the multiplicity of S at σ.

Lemma 5. *Assume $N = \{x = 0\}$, S admits a fractional power expansion $z = x^\lambda y^\mu \varphi(x^{1/d}, y^{1/d})$, with $\varphi(0, 0) \neq 0$, $(\lambda, \mu) \in \mathbb{Q}^2 \setminus \mathbb{Z}^2$, $\mu \neq 0$ and $Sing^N(S) = \{y = z = 0\}$. The following statements are equivalent:*

(a) $\Sigma_o^N(S) = \{(0 : 0 : 1)\}$,

(b) $\Sigma_o^N(S) \neq \Sigma_o^N$,

(c) $\mu \geq 1$,
(d) *there is a neighbourhood* U *of* o *such that* $m_\sigma(S) = m_o(S)$ *for each* $\sigma \in Sing^N(S) \cap U$.

Proof. The equivalence between (c) and (d) follows from well known facts on quasi-ordinary surfaces. The prove that (a) follows from (c) is similar to the proof of Lemma 4. Moreover, (a) implies (b).

Assume $\mu < 1$. There are positive integers m, n, k such that $n < k$ and

$$x = t^k, \qquad y = s^k, \qquad z = t^m s^n \varphi(t, s) \tag{4}$$

is a parametrization of S. Replacing (4) in (3) we conclude that

$$k\eta + t^m s^{n-k}(m\varphi + s\partial_s\varphi)\zeta = 0. \tag{5}$$

Setting $s = Ct^{m/(k-n)}$ in (5) and taking limits we conclude that

$$k\eta + C^{n-k} m\varphi(0,0)\zeta = 0.$$

Hence the limits of tangents along the curves

$$x = t^k, \qquad y = Ct^{mk/(k-n)}, \qquad z = t^{mk/(k-n)}\varphi(t, Ct^{m/(k-n)})$$

of S are dense in Σ_o^N. Therefore (b) is false. □

Theorem 6. *Assume* $o \in S \cap N$ *is a smooth point of* N *and the singular locus of* S *is contained in* N *in a neighbourhood of* o. *Let* ρ *be a projection compatible with* N. *Then* $\Sigma_o^N(S) = \Sigma_o^N$ *if and only if* $o \in \Xi_\rho^N(S)$.

Proof. We can assume S irreducible. There is a system of local coordinates (x, y, z) centered at o such that $N = \{x = 0\}$ and $S = \{F = 0\}$, for some $F \in \mathbb{C}\{x, y, z\}$.

Assume $o \notin \Xi_\rho^N(S)$. Then S admits a fractional power series expansion $z = \varphi(x^{1/d}, y)$, where $\varphi \in \mathbb{C}\{x^{1/d}, y\}$, for some positive integer d, and there are $a_\ell \in \mathbb{C}\{y\}$ such that $x = t^k$,

$$\varphi(t, y) = \sum_\ell a_\ell(y) t^\ell \qquad \text{and} \qquad a_\ell = 0 \text{ or } a_\ell(0) \neq 0, \tag{6}$$

for each ℓ. By Lemma 4, $\Sigma_o^N(S) = \langle dz \rangle$.

Set $\rho_\lambda(x, y, z) = (x, y + \lambda z)$. In order to prove the other implication it is enough to show that, for each $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$o \notin \Xi_\rho^N(S) \text{ if and only if } o \notin \Xi_{\rho_\lambda}^N(S). \tag{7}$$

Notice that if $o \in \Xi_{\rho_\lambda}(S)$ for each $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$\langle dy - \lambda dz \rangle \in \Sigma_o(S), \qquad \text{for each } \lambda \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Let us prove (7). Assume $o \notin \Xi_\rho^N(S)$. Set $\Phi(x, y, z) = (x, y - \lambda z, z)$, $G = F \circ \Phi$. Set $h(t, y, z) = z - \varphi(t, y + \lambda z)$. There is $\psi \in \mathbb{C}\{t, y\}$ such that $h(t, y + \lambda\psi(t, y), z) = 0$. Hence

$$\psi(t, y) = \varphi(t, y + \lambda\psi(t, y)) \tag{8}$$

and G admits the fractional power series expansion $z = \psi(x^{1/d}, y)$. Moreover, there are $b_k \in \mathbb{C}\{y\}$ such that

$$\psi(t, y) = \sum_k b_k(y)t^k \qquad \text{and} \qquad b_k = 0 \text{ or } b_k(0) \neq 0.$$

Therefore $\Delta_z G \subset \{x = 0\}$. □

Theorem 7. *Assume $Sing^N(S)$ is transversal to N at o. Let ρ be a projection compatible with N. Then $\Sigma_o^N(S) \neq \Sigma_o^N$ if and only if $o \notin \overline{\Xi_\rho^N(S) \setminus Sing^N(S)}$ and there is an open neighbourhood U of o such that $m_\sigma(S) = m_o(S)$, for each $\sigma \in Sing^N(S) \cap U$.*

Proof. We can assume that S irreducible, $N = \{x = 0\}$ and $\mathrm{Sing}^N(S) = \{y = z = 0\}$. Therefore S admits a fractional power expansion

$$z = x^\mu y^\nu \varphi(x^{1/d}, y^{1/d}), \tag{9}$$

where $\varphi \in \mathbb{C}\{x, y\}$, $\varphi(0, 0) \neq 0$ and $\mu, \nu \in \mathbb{Q}$, for some positive integer d. After an eventual a change of coordinates, we can assume $(\mu, \nu) \notin \mathbb{Z}^2$.

Let us show that the condition is sufficient. Since $\mathrm{Sing}^N(S)$ is transversal to N at o, $\nu \neq 0$. Since $\sigma \in \mathrm{Sing}^N(S) \cap U$ implies $m_\sigma(S) = m_o(S)$, $\nu \geq 1$. Hence, by Lemma 5, $\Sigma_o^N(S) = \langle dz \rangle$.
Assume $\Sigma_o^N(S) \neq \Sigma_o^N$. By Lemma 5, $\nu \geq 1$. Hence the condition on $m_\sigma(S)$ is verified. Moreover, there is $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$o \notin \overline{\Xi_{\rho_\lambda}^N(S) \setminus \mathrm{Sing}^N(S)}.$$

Therefore it is enough to show that, for each $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$o \notin \overline{\Xi_\rho^N(S) \setminus \mathrm{Sing}^N(S)} \text{ if and only if } o \notin \overline{\Xi_{\rho_\lambda}^N(S) \setminus \mathrm{Sing}^N(S)}. \tag{10}$$

Set $x_* = x,\ y_* = y - \lambda z,\ z_* = z,\ x = t^d,\ y = s^d,\ y_* = s_*^d$,

$$f(t, s) = t^{\mu d} s^{(\nu-1)d} \varphi(t, s).$$

Assume that there is $\psi \in \mathbb{C}\{t, s_*\}$ such that

$$s = s_*(1 + t^{\mu d} s_*^{(\nu-1)d} \varphi(t, s_*)\psi(t, s_*)). \tag{11}$$

There are $a_k \in \mathbb{C}^*$, $b_{\alpha,\beta} \in \mathbb{C}$, with $k \geq 1$, $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ such that $b_{0,0} = 0$,

$$(1 - u)^{1/d} = \sum_k a_k u^k \qquad \text{and} \qquad f(t, s) = \sum_{\alpha,\beta} b_{\alpha,\beta} t^\alpha s^\beta.$$

Replacing (9) in $y_* = y - \lambda z$, we conclude that

$$s_* = s(1 + \sum_k a_k \lambda^k f^k(t, s)), \tag{12}$$

Replacing (11) in (12), we show that

$$\psi f + (1 + \psi f)(1 + \sum_{k \geq 1} a_k \lambda^k f^k(t, s_*(1 + \psi f))) = 0. \tag{13}$$

There are $c_{\alpha,\beta} \in \mathbb{C}$, depending only on the $b_{\alpha,\beta}$'s, such that

$$f(t, s_*(1+\psi f(t,s_*)) = f(t,s_*)[1 + \sum_{\alpha,\beta\geq 0}\sum_{j=1}^{\beta} c_{\alpha\beta} t^\alpha s_*^\beta \psi^j f^{j-1}(t,s_*)]. \tag{14}$$

Setting

$$\varepsilon_\psi(t,s_*) = 1 + \sum_{\alpha,\beta\geq 0}\sum_{j=1}^{\beta} c_{\alpha\beta} t^\alpha s_*^\beta \psi^j f^{j-1}(t,s_*).$$

we can rewrite equality (13) as

$$\psi + (1+\psi f)\sum_{k\geq 1} a_k \lambda^k f^{k-1} \varepsilon_\psi^k = 0, \tag{15}$$

Hence ψ is well defined. Furthermore, $\psi(0,0) = \lambda/d$. Hence, for each $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$, S admits a fractional power series expansion $z_* = x_*^\mu y_*^\nu \phi(x_*^{1/d}, y_*^{1/d})$ with $\phi(0,0) \neq 0$. Therefore $o \notin \overline{\Xi^N_{\rho_\lambda}(S) \setminus \mathrm{Sing}^N(S)}$. □

4. A logarithmic version of a classical result

Let M be a germ of a complex manifold of dimension 3 at a point o. Let $\pi : \widetilde{M} \to M$ be the blow up of M at o. Set $E = \pi^{-1}(o)$. Let $\widehat{\pi} : \mathbb{P}^*\langle \widetilde{M}/E\rangle \to \mathbb{P}^*M$ be the blow up of $\mathbb{P}^*M$ along the Legendrian variety $\pi_M^{-1}(o)$. If follows from Proposition 9.4 of [8] that diagram (1) commutes. We will also denote by $\widehat{\pi}$ the bimeromorphic map from a dense open set of $T^*\langle \widetilde{M}/E\rangle$ into T^*M that induces $\widehat{\pi}$.

Let ℓ be a line of T_oM that contains the origin. Let Σ_ℓ be the set of planes of T_oM that contain ℓ. Let γ be the germ at o of a smooth curve of M with tangent space ℓ. The point o_ℓ where the strict transform of γ intersects E does not depend on γ.

Theorem 8. *Let S be a surface of M. Then $\Sigma_o(S)$ is the union of the dual of the projectivization of $C_o(S)$ and a finite set of projective lines of $\mathbb{P}^*_oM$.*

Moreover, $\Sigma_\ell \subset \Sigma_o(S)$ if and only if $\Sigma^E_{o_\ell}(\widetilde{S}) = \Sigma^E_{o_\ell}$.

Proof. Let $\ell \in \mathbb{P}(T_oM)$. Choose local coordinates (x,y,z) of M such that $\ell = \{y = z = 0\}$. Setting $x_0 = x, y_0 = y/x, z_0 = z/x$, (x_0, y_0, z_0) is a system of local coordinates on an affine set U of $\widetilde{M}$, centered at o_ℓ such that $E \cap U = \{x_0 = 0\}$. Let

$$\xi_0 \frac{dx_0}{x_0} \ + \ \eta_0 dy_0 \ + \ \zeta_0 dz_0$$

be the canonical 1-form of $T^*\langle \widetilde{M}/E\rangle$ in a neighbourhood of o_ℓ. Since

$$\widehat{\pi}^*\left(\xi dx + \eta dy + \zeta dz\right) \ = \ (x\xi + y\eta + z\zeta)\frac{dx_0}{x_0} + x\eta dy_0 + x\zeta dz_0,$$

in a neighbourhood of o_ℓ, $\widehat{\pi}$ induces a map $\widehat{\pi}_\ell : \mathbb{P}^*_{o_\ell}\langle \widetilde{M}/E\rangle \to \mathbb{P}^*_oM$ given by

$$\widehat{\pi}_\ell(\xi_0 : \eta_0 : \zeta_0) = (\xi_0 : \eta_0 : \zeta_0).$$

Therefore

$$\widehat{\pi}(\mathbb{P}^*_{o_\ell}E) = \Sigma_\ell. \tag{16}$$

Since $\widehat{\pi}\left(\mathbb{P}^*_{\widetilde{S}}\langle\widetilde{M}/E\rangle\right) = \mathbb{P}^*_S M$,

$$\widehat{\pi}\left(\mathbb{P}^*_{\widetilde{S}}\langle\widetilde{M}/E\rangle \cap \mathbb{P}^*E\right) = \Sigma_o(S).$$

Since $\mathbb{P}^*_{\widetilde{S}}\langle\widetilde{M}/E\rangle \cap \pi^{-1}_{\widetilde{M}}(E) = \mathbb{P}^*_{\widetilde{S}}\langle M/E\rangle \cap \mathbb{P}^*E$ is a Legendrian variety of $\mathbb{P}^*E$ and

$$\pi_{\widetilde{M}}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\widetilde{S}}\langle\widetilde{M}/E\rangle \cap \mathbb{P}^*E\right) = \widetilde{S} \cap E,$$

there are $\ell_1, ..., \ell_k \in \mathbb{P}(T_oM)$ such that

$$\mathbb{P}^*_{\widetilde{S}}\langle\widetilde{M}/E\rangle \cap \mathbb{P}^*E = \mathbb{P}^*_{\widetilde{S}\cap E}E \cup \cup_{i=1}^k \mathbb{P}^*_{o_{\ell_i}}E.$$

Since $\widetilde{S} \cap E \simeq \mathrm{Proj}(C_o(S))$, $\widehat{\pi}\left(\mathbb{P}^*(\widetilde{S}\cap E)\right)$ equals the dual of $\mathrm{Proj}(C_o(S))$. The Theorem follows from (16). □

5. The First Sequence of Blow-Ups

Let M be a germ of a complex manifold of dimension 3 at a point o. Let ρ be a submersion of M into a smooth surface X. We will fix systems of local coordinates (x, y, z), $[(x, y)]$ on M $[X]$ such that $o = (0, 0, 0)$ and $\rho(x, y, z) = (x, y)$. Let

$$\xi\frac{dx}{x} + \eta\frac{dy}{y} + \zeta dz \tag{17}$$

be the canonical 1-form θ_N of $T^*\langle M/N\rangle$. Given $(a : b) \in \mathbb{P}^1$, set

$$\Sigma^N_o(a : b) = \{(\xi : \eta : \zeta) \in \mathbb{P}^*_o\langle M/N\rangle \ : \ b\xi + a\eta = 0\}.$$

Let $\pi : \widetilde{M} \to M$ be the blow up of M along the singular locus N^σ of N. Set $\widetilde{N} = \pi^{-1}(N)$. Let $\widehat{\pi} : T^*\langle\widetilde{M}/\widetilde{N}\rangle \to T^*\langle M/N\rangle$ be the blow up of $T^*\langle M/N\rangle$ along $\pi^{-1}_M(N^\sigma)$. There is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T^*\langle M/N\rangle & \leftarrow & T^*\langle\widetilde{M}/\widetilde{N}\rangle \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ M & \leftarrow & \widetilde{M} \end{array} \tag{18}$$

Set $x_0 = x$, $y_0 = y/x$ and $z_0 = z$.

Lemma 9. *Let $o_1 \in \pi^{-1}(o)$. The following statements hold:*

(a) *The map $\widehat{\pi}$ induces a linear isomorphism $\widehat{\pi} : T^*_{o_1}\langle\widetilde{M}/\widetilde{N}\rangle \to T^*_o\langle M/N\rangle$ given by*

$$(\xi_0, \eta_0, \zeta_0) \mapsto (\xi_0 - \eta_0, \eta_0, \zeta_0). \tag{19}$$

(*b*) *If* $o_1 = (0,0,0)$,

$$\widehat{\pi}\left(\Sigma^{\widetilde{N}}_{o_1}(a:b)\right) \;=\; \Sigma^N_o(a+b:b). \tag{20}$$

(*c*) *If* $o_1 = (0,\mu,0)$, *with* $\mu \neq 0$,

$$\widehat{\pi}\left(\Sigma^{\widetilde{N}}_{o_1}\right) = \Sigma^N_o(1:1). \tag{21}$$

(*d*) *Assume* $(a:b) \neq (1:1)$, $o_1 = (0,0,0)$ *and* o_2 *is the other point of* $\pi^{-1}(o)$ *such that* $\widetilde{N}$ *is singular at* o_2. *Then* $\Sigma^N_o(S) \supset \Sigma^N_o(a:b)$ *if and only if*

$$\Sigma^{\widetilde{N}}_{o_1}(\widetilde{S}) \supset \Sigma^{\widetilde{N}}_{o_1}(a-b,b) \qquad \text{or} \qquad \Sigma^{\widetilde{N}}_{o_2}(\widetilde{S}) \supset \Sigma^{\widetilde{N}}_{o_2}(a,b-a).$$

Proof. Statement (a) follows from the fact that

$$\widehat{\pi}^*\theta_N = (\xi+\eta)\frac{dx_0}{x_0} + \eta\frac{dy_0}{y_0} + \zeta dz_0.$$

in a neighbourhood of o_1. Statements (b) and (c) follow from statement (a). Since $\mathbb{P}^*_S\langle M/N\rangle \subset \widehat{\pi}\left(\mathbb{P}^*_{\widetilde{S}}\langle \widetilde{M}/\widetilde{N}\rangle\right)$,

$$\mathbb{P}^*_S\langle M/N\rangle \cap \pi_M^{-1}(o) \subset \widehat{\pi}\left(\mathbb{P}^*_{\widetilde{S}}\langle \widetilde{M}/\widetilde{N}\rangle \cap \pi^{-1}_{\widetilde{M}}(\pi^{-1}(o))\right).$$

Therefore

$$\Sigma^N_o(S) \subset \cup_{s\in\widetilde{S}\cap\pi^{-1}(o)}\widehat{\pi}\left(\Sigma^{\widetilde{N}}_s(\widetilde{S})\right). \tag{22}$$

Statement (d) follows from (22) and statements (b) and (c). □

10. Set $M_0 = M,\ N_0 = N,\ X_0 = X,\ S_0 = S,\ \rho_0 = \rho$.

Let $\rho_\ell : M_\ell \to X_\ell$ be a holomorphic submersion, Let N_ℓ be a normal crossings divisor of M_ℓ. Let S_ℓ be a surface of M_ℓ.

Let D_ℓ be intersection of the closure of $\Delta_{\rho_\ell}(S_\ell) \setminus \rho(N_\ell)$ and $\rho(N^\sigma_\ell)$.

Let $\tau_{\ell+1} : X_{\ell+1} \to X_\ell$ be the blow up of X_ℓ along D_ℓ. Let $\pi_{\ell+1} : M_{\ell+1} \to M_\ell$ be the blow up of M_ℓ along $\rho_\ell^{-1}(D_\ell)$. Let $S_{\ell+1}$ be the strict transform of S_ℓ by $\pi_{\ell+1}$. By the universal property of the blow-up there is a map $\rho_{\ell+1} : M_{\ell+1} \to X_{\ell+1}$ such that $\rho_\ell\pi_{\ell+1} = \tau_{\ell+1}\rho_{\ell+1}$. Moreover, $\rho_{\ell+1}$ is a submersion. Hence we can iterate the process.

There is an integer L such that $D_L = \emptyset$. Hence the procedure described in paragraph 10 will terminate. Set $\pi = \pi_1 \circ \cdots \circ \pi_L$. We call the map $\pi : M_L \to M$ the *first sequence of blow-ups.*

Theorem 11. *Assume* N *has two irreducible components and* $S \cap N^\sigma = \{o\}$. *There are positive integers* $a_1, ..., a_n, b_1, ..., b_n$ *such that*

$$\Sigma^N_o(S) \subset \cup_{i=1}^n \Sigma^N_o(a_i : b_i).$$

Moreover, $\Sigma^N_o(S)$ *contains a projective line if and only if there is a regular point* o_1 *of* N_L *such that* $\Sigma^{N_L}_{o_1}(S_L) = \Sigma^{N_L}_{o_1}$.

Proof. Let $\sigma \in S_L$. If σ is a singular point of N_L,

$$\Delta_{\rho_L}(S_L) = \rho_L(N_L).$$

Assuming $N_L = \{xy = 0\}$ in a neighbourhood of σ, it follows from Lemma 4 that $\Sigma_\sigma^{N_L} = \{(0:0:1\}$.
Assume $L = 1$. It follows from statements (a) and (c) of Lemma 9 that $\Sigma_o^N(S) \subset \Sigma_o^N(1:1)$.

The induction step follows from statement (d) of Lemma 9. □

6. The Non Degenerated Case

Let N be a smooth divisor of a manifold M of dimension 3. Let S be a surface of M that does not contain N. Let $o \in S \cap N$. Let $\pi^0 : M_0 \to M$ be the blow up of M with center o. Let $\widetilde{N}$ be the strict transform of N. Let S_0 denote the strict transform of S. Set $N_0 = (\pi^0)^{-1}(N)$, $E = (\pi^0)^{-1}(o)$.

We say that S is *non degenerated* at o if $C_o(S)$ does not contain $C_o(N)$.

Lemma 12. *There is an open set $\mathbb{P}^\circ\langle M_0/N_0\rangle$ of $\mathbb{P}^*\langle M_0/N_0\rangle$ and an holomorphic map $\pi_0 : \mathbb{P}^\circ\langle M_0/N_0\rangle \to \mathbb{P}^*\langle M/N\rangle$ such that the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{P}^*\langle M/N\rangle & \xleftarrow{\pi_0} & \mathbb{P}^\circ\langle M_0/N_0\rangle \\ \pi_M \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_{M_0} \\ M & \xleftarrow{\pi^0} & M_0 \end{array} \tag{23}$$

commutes and

(a) $\mathbb{P}^*(M \setminus N) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^\circ\langle M_0/N_0\rangle$,
(b) $\pi_0|_{\mathbb{P}^*(M_0 \setminus E)} : \mathbb{P}^*(M_0 \setminus E) \to \mathbb{P}^*(M \setminus \{o\})$ *is a contact transformation,*
(c) for each Legendrian surface Γ *of* $\mathbb{P}^*\langle M/N\rangle$, $\Gamma \subset \pi_0(\mathbb{P}^\circ\langle M_0/N_0\rangle)$,
(d) for each Legendrian surface Γ_0 *of* $\mathbb{P}^*\langle M_0/N_0\rangle$, $\Gamma_0 \subset \mathbb{P}^\circ\langle M_0/N_0\rangle$.

Proof. Assume M is an affine set with coordinates (x, y, z) and $N = \{x = 0\}$. The manifold M_0 is the gluing of the open affine sets V_i, $i = 1, 2, 3$, with coordinates (x_i, y_i, z_i) such that

(1) $x_1 = x, y_1 = y/x, z_1 = z/x$;
(2) $x_2 = x/y, y_2 = y, z_2 = z/y$;
(3) $x_3 = x/z, y_3 = z, z_3 = y/z$.

Let $\pi_0' : \mathbb{P}^*(M_0 \setminus E) \to \mathbb{P}^*(M \setminus \{o\})$ be the contact transformation such that

$$\pi_M \circ \pi_0' = \pi^0 \circ \pi_{M_0}.$$

Let $\pi_{0,i}$ be the restriction of π_0' to $\pi_{M_0}^{-1}(V_i \setminus N_0)$, $i = 1, 2, 3$. Since

$$\pi_{0,1}^*(\xi\frac{dx}{x} + \eta dy + \zeta dz) = (\xi + y\eta + z\zeta)\frac{dx_1}{x_1} + x\eta dy_1 + x\zeta dz_1,$$

$\xi_1 = \xi + y\eta + z\zeta$, $\eta_1 = x\eta$ and $\zeta_1 = x\zeta$. Hence

$$\pi_{0,1}(x_1, y_1, z_1; \xi_1 : \eta_1 : \zeta_1) = (x_1, x_1y_1, x_1z_1; x_1(\xi_1 - y_1\eta_1 - z_1\zeta_1) : \eta_1 : \zeta_1).$$

Therefore $\pi_{0,1}$ is defined outside of $B_1 = \{x_1 = \eta_1 = \zeta_1 = 0\}$.

The canonical 1-form of $T^*\langle V_2/N_0\cap V_2\rangle)$ $[T^*\langle V_2\setminus\widetilde{N}/(E\setminus\widetilde{N})\cap V_2\rangle]$ is

$$\xi_2\frac{dx_2}{x_2}+\eta_2\frac{dy_2}{y_2}+\zeta_2dz_2 \qquad \left[\xi_2'dx_2+\eta_2\frac{dy_2}{y_2}+\zeta_2dz_2,\right],$$

where $\xi_2=x_2\xi_2'$ if $x_2\neq 0$. Since

$$\pi_{0,2}^*(\xi\frac{dx}{x}+\eta dy+\zeta dz)=\xi\frac{dx_2}{x_2}+(\xi+y\eta+z\zeta)\frac{dy_2}{y_2}+y\zeta dz_2,$$

$\pi_{0,2}$ is given by $x=x_2y_2$, $y=y_2$, $z=y_2z_2$,

$$\xi=y_2\xi_2, \qquad \eta=\eta_2-\xi_2-z_2\zeta_2, \qquad \zeta=\zeta_2. \tag{24}$$

Therefore $\pi_{0,2}$ is defined outside of $\{y_2=\eta_2-\xi_2=\zeta_2=0\}$. Since $\xi_2=x_2\xi_2'$, when $x_2\neq 0$, $\pi_{0,2}$ is defined outside of the union of the sets

$$B_2=\{x_2=y_2=\eta_2-\xi_2=\zeta_2=0\},$$

$$B_2'=\{y_2=\eta_2-x_2\xi_2'=\zeta_2=0, x_2\neq 0\}.$$

Let Γ_0 be a Legendrian variety of $\mathbb{P}^*\langle M_0/N_0\rangle$. Since $B_1\cap\{\xi_1=0\}=\emptyset$, $\Gamma_0\cap B_1=\emptyset$ by Lemma 2. By a similar argument $\Gamma_0\cap B_2'=\emptyset$. By Theorem 11, $\Gamma_0\cap B_2=\emptyset$. We apply the same reasoning to V_3. □

Lemma 13. *If $\Sigma_o^N(S)$ is finite, $C_o(S)$ is a union of planes.*

Proof. Let L be an irreducible component of $S_0\cap E$. Notice that if $L=\widetilde{N}\cap E$, L is the projectivization of $C_o(N)$. Assume $L\neq\widetilde{N}\cap E$. By Bezout's Theorem, there is $\sigma\in L\cap\widetilde{N}$. We can assume that σ is the origin of V_2. Let γ be an irreducible component of the germ of L at σ. There is a local parametrization of γ of the type

$$x_2=\varepsilon_1(t)t^{k_1},\ y_2=0,\ z_2=\varepsilon_2(t)t^{k_2},$$

such that k_1,k_2 are positive integers, $(k_1,k_2)=1$, $\varepsilon_1,\varepsilon_2\in\mathbb{C}\{t\}$ and $\varepsilon_1\not\equiv 0$. Furthermore, we can assume

(*a*) if $\varepsilon_2\equiv 0$, $\varepsilon_1\equiv 1$ and $k_1=1$,
(*b*) if $k_j\geq k_n$, $\varepsilon_n\equiv 1$ and $\varepsilon_j(0)\neq 0$,

where $j,n\in\{1,2\}$ and $j\neq n$. Therefore $\mathbb{P}_\gamma^*(N_0\setminus\widetilde{N})$ admits a parametrization

$$x_2=\varepsilon_1(t)t^{k_1},\ y_2=0,\ z_2=\varepsilon_2(t)t^{k_2},\ \xi_2=-\delta(t)t^{k_2}\zeta_2,\ \eta_2=0,$$

where $\delta(t)=\varepsilon_1(t)(k_2\varepsilon_2(t)+\varepsilon_2'(t)t)/(k_1\varepsilon_1(t)+\varepsilon_1'(t)t)$.

Since $\Sigma_o^N(S)\neq\Sigma_o^N$, $\pi_0(\mathbb{P}_\gamma^*(N_0\setminus\widetilde{N}))$ is a point. By (24), $\delta=\varepsilon_2$. Therefore

$$(k_2-k_1)\varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2+t(\varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2'-\varepsilon_1'\varepsilon_2)=0. \tag{25}$$

If $\varepsilon_2=0$, γ is contained in a projective line. Hence L is a projective line. Assume $\varepsilon_2(0)\neq 0$. Then $k_2-k_1=0$. Hence we can assume $k_2=1$, $\varepsilon_1=1$. Replacing k_1, k_2 and ε_1 in (25), we conclude that $\varepsilon_2'=0$. Therefore $\varepsilon_2\in\mathbb{C}^*$. Hence L is a projective line. □

Theorem 14. *Assume S is* non degenerated *at o. Then $\Sigma_o^N(S)$ is finite if and only if $C_o(S)$ is a union of planes and for each $\sigma \in S_0 \cap E$, $\Sigma_\sigma^{N_0}(S_0)$ is finite.*

Proof. By Lemma 13, $S_0 \cap E$ is a union of projective lines L_i, $i = 1, ..., n$. Since S is non degenerated, there are $\sigma_1, ..., \sigma_n$ such that

$$S_0 \cap E \cap \widetilde{N} = \{\sigma_1, ..., \sigma_n\}.$$

Moreover, there are points $o_1, ..., o_m$ of $(S_0 \cap E) \setminus \widetilde{N}$ such that

$$\mathbb{P}^*_{S_0}\langle M_0/N_0\rangle \cap \pi_{M_0}^{-1}(E) = \cup_i \mathbb{P}^*_{L_i} E \cup \cup_i \Sigma_{\sigma_i}^{N_0}(S_0) \cup \cup_i \Sigma_{o_i}^{N_0}.$$

By Lemma 12,

$$\Sigma_o^N(S) \subset \pi_0(\cup_i \mathbb{P}^*_{L_i} E \cup \cup_i \Sigma_{\sigma_i}^{N_0}(S_0) \cup \cup_i \Sigma_{o_i}^{N_0}).$$

By the arguments of Lemma 13, $\pi_0(\mathbb{P}^*_{L_i} E)$ is a point, for $i = 1, ..., n$. The type of arguments used in Theorem 8 show that $\pi_0(\Sigma_{\sigma_i}^{N_0}(S_0))$ is finite if and only if $\Sigma_{\sigma_i}^{N_0}(S_0)$ is finite, $i = 1, ..., n$ and $\pi_0(\Sigma_{o_i}^{N_0})$ is infinite for $i = 1, ..., m$.

Hence $\Sigma_o^N(S)$ is finite if and only if $\Sigma_{\sigma_i}^{N_0}(S_0)$ is finite, $i = 1, ..., n$ and $m = 0$. □

7. The Second sequence of Blow-ups

We will introduce a generalization for surfaces of a construction for curves that was introduced in [3]. Given non negative integers $a_0, a_1, ..., a_g$ assume that $a_1, ..., a_g \geq 1$ or $g = 1$, $a_1 = 0$. Set $[a_0, 0] = \infty$, $[a_0] = a_0$. If $g, a_g \geq 1$, set

$$[a_0, ..., a_g] = a_0 + [a_1, ..., a_g]^{-1}.$$

Assuming $a_g \geq 2$, $[a_0, ..., a_g] = [a_0, ..., a_g - 1, 1]$. It is well known that each positive rational number is described by exactly two continuous fractions.

If $\alpha = [a_0, ..., a_g]$ we call *length* of α to $|\alpha| = a_0 + \cdots + a_g$. Set $n_\infty = 1$, $d_\infty = 0$. If $\alpha = a/b$ where a, b are positive integers such that $(a, b) = 1$, set $n_\alpha = a$, $d_\alpha = b$, $e_\alpha = a + b$.

Let α be a positive rational number, $\alpha = [a_0, ..., a_g]$. If α is an integer, set $\alpha_\omega = \alpha - 1$, $\alpha_\pi = \infty$. Otherwise, $g \geq 1$. Moreover, we can assume $a_g \geq 2$. Set $\alpha_\omega = [a_0, ..., a_g - 1]$ and $\alpha_\pi = [a_0, ..., a_{g-1}]$ if g even, otherwise set $\alpha_\omega = [a_0, ..., a_{g-1}]$ and $\alpha_\pi = [a_0, ..., a_g - 1]$.

Assume $\alpha = 1$ or $a_g \geq 2$. Set $\alpha_s = [a_0, ..., a_{g-1}, a_g - 1, 2]$ and $\alpha_b = [a_0, ..., a_{g-1}, a_g + 1]$ if g even, otherwise set $\alpha_s = [a_0, ..., a_{g-1}, a_g + 1]$ and $\alpha_b = [a_0, ..., a_{g-1}, a_g - 1, 2]$. Notice that α_s and α_b are the only rationals such that $\alpha_{s\pi} = \alpha_{b\omega} = \alpha$. Moreover, $\alpha_{s\omega} = \alpha_\omega$, $\alpha_{b\pi} = \alpha_\pi$,

$$\alpha_s = \frac{n_\alpha + n_{\alpha_\omega}}{d_\alpha + d_{\alpha_\omega}},\ \alpha_b = \frac{n_\alpha + n_{\alpha_\pi}}{d_\alpha + d_{\alpha_\pi}},$$

$e_\alpha + e_{\alpha_\pi} = e_{\alpha_b}$ and $e_\alpha + e_{\alpha_\omega} = e_{\alpha_s}$.

15. Let M be the germ of a complex analytic manifold of dimension 3 at a point o. Let N be a smooth surface of M. Let S be a singular surface of M. Assume that N is not an irreducible component of S and $C_o(S) \supset C_o(N)$.

Let $\pi^0 : M^0 \to M$ be the blow up of M at o. Set $N^0 = (\pi^0)^{-1}(N)$ and $E^0 = (\pi^0)^{-1}(o)$. Let S^0 be the strict transform of S by π^0. Notice that S^0 contains the singular locus Z^0 of N^0.

Let N^k be a normal crossings divisor of a manifold M^k of dimension 3. Let S^k be a singular surface of M^k. Let Z^k be the union of the connected components of the singular locus of N^k that are contained in S^k.

We iterate the process defining $\pi^{k+1} : M^{k+1} \to M^k$ as the blow up of M^k along Z^k, defining S^{k+1} as the strict transform of S^k by π^{k+1} and setting $N^{k+1} = (\pi^{k+1})^{-1}(N^k)$, $E^{k+1} = (\pi^{k+1})^{-1}(Z^k)$.

This process will terminate after a finite number k_0 of steps.

The intersection of S^{k_0} with the singular locus of N^{k_0} is a finite set. We will now perform the first sequence of blow-ups at each point of this intersection.

We obtain in this way a map $\pi : \widetilde{M} \to M$, a normal crossings divisor $\widetilde{N}$ of $\widetilde{M}$ and a singular surface $\widetilde{S}$ of $\widetilde{M}$. We call $\pi : \widetilde{M} \to M$ the *second sequence of blow-ups.*

Let $M^{(\alpha)}$ be the gluing of the affine sets $U_{\alpha,i}$, with coordinates $(u_{\alpha,i}, v_{\alpha,i}, w_{\alpha,i})$, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$, by the transformations

$v_{\alpha,3} = v_{\alpha,1} w_{\alpha,1}^{e_\alpha}, \qquad w_{\alpha,3} = w_{\alpha,1}^{-1}, \qquad u_{\alpha,3} = u_{\alpha,1} w_{\alpha,1}^{-e_{\alpha\pi}};$

$v_{\alpha,4} = v_{\alpha,2} w_{\alpha,2}^{-e_\alpha}, \qquad w_{\alpha,4} = w_{\alpha,2}^{-1}, \qquad u_{\alpha,4} = u_{\alpha,2} w_{\alpha,2}^{e_{\alpha\omega}};$

$v_{\alpha,2} = v_{\alpha,1}^{-1}, \qquad w_{\alpha,2} = w_{\alpha,1}, \qquad u_{\alpha,2} = u_{\alpha,1} v_{\alpha,1}.$

Let $E^{(\alpha)}$, N_b^α, N_s^α be defined by

$E^{(\alpha)} \cap U_{\alpha,i} = \{u_{\alpha,i} = 0\}$, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$;

$N_b^\alpha \cap U_{\alpha,i} = \{v_{\alpha,i} = 0\} \quad i = 1, 3, \qquad N_b^\alpha \cap U_{\alpha,i} = \emptyset, \quad i = 2, 4;$

$N_s^\alpha \cap U_{\alpha,i} = \{v_{\alpha,i} = 0\} \quad i = 2, 4, \qquad N_s^\alpha \cap U_{\alpha,i} = \emptyset, \quad i = 1, 3;$

Set

$$Z^{\alpha_b} = E^{(\alpha)} \cap N_b^\alpha, \qquad Z^{\alpha_s} = E^{(\alpha)} \cap N_b^\alpha,$$
$$M_b^{(\alpha)} = M^{(\alpha)} \setminus N_s^\alpha, \qquad M_s^{(\alpha)} = M^{(\alpha)} \setminus N_b^\alpha.$$

We will denote by

$$N_s^{\alpha_b} \quad [N_s^{\alpha_s},\ N_b^{\alpha_b},\ N_b^{\alpha_s}]$$

the strict transform of $E^{(\alpha)}$ $[N_s^\alpha, N_b^\alpha, E^{(\alpha)}]$ by the blow up of $M_b^{(\alpha)}$ $[M_s^{(\alpha)}, M_b^{(\alpha)}, M_s^{(\alpha)}]$ along Z^{α_b} $[Z^{\alpha_s}$,Z^{α_b},$Z^{\alpha_s}]$.

Lemma 16. *Assume M is an affine set with coordinates (x, y, z), $N = \{x = 0\}$, o is the origin and $k \geq 1$. Then the following statements hold:*
There are finite sets $I_b^k, I_s^k \subset \mathbb{Q}$ such that M^k is a gluing of the manifolds

$$M_b^{(\alpha)},\ \alpha \in I_b^k, \qquad M_s^{(\alpha)},\ \alpha \in I_s^k;$$

For each $\alpha \in I_b^k$, $E^k \cap M_b^{(\alpha)} \subset E^{(\alpha)}$, $N^k \cap M_b^{(\alpha)} \subset E^{(\alpha)} \cup N_b^\alpha$.
For each $\alpha \in I_s^k$, $E^k \cap M_s^{(\alpha)} \subset E^{(\alpha)}$, $N^k \cap M_s^{(\alpha)} \subset E^{(\alpha)} \cup N_s^\alpha$.

For each α, Z^α is a projective line.
The restriction $\pi^{\alpha_b} : M^{(\alpha_b)} \to M^{(\alpha)} \setminus N_s^\alpha$ of $\pi^{k+1} : M^{k+1} \to M^k$ is given by
$u_{\alpha,i} = u_{\alpha_b,i}, \qquad v_{\alpha,i} = u_{\alpha_b,i}v_{\alpha_b,i} \qquad w_{\alpha,i} = w_{\alpha_b,i}, \qquad i = 1, 3,$
$u_{\alpha,i-1} = u_{\alpha_b,i}v_{\alpha_b,i}, \qquad v_{\alpha,i-1} = u_{\alpha_b,i} \qquad w_{\alpha,i-1} = w_{\alpha_b,i}, \qquad i = 2, 4,$
The restriction $\pi^{\alpha_s} : M^{(\alpha_s)} \to M^{(\alpha)} \setminus N_b^\alpha$ of $\pi^{k+1} : M^{k+1} \to M^k$ is given by
$u_{\alpha,i+1} = u_{\alpha_s,i}v_{\alpha_s,i}, \qquad v_{\alpha,i+1} = u_{\alpha_s,i} \qquad w_{\alpha,i+1} = w_{\alpha_s,i}, \qquad i = 1, 3,$
$u_{\alpha,i} = u_{\alpha_s,i}, \qquad v_{\alpha,i} = u_{\alpha_s,i}v_{\alpha_s,i}, \qquad w_{\alpha,i} = w_{\alpha_s,i}, \qquad i = 2, 4.$

Proof. The manifold M^0 is the gluing of the affine sets V_i introduced at the proof of Lemma 12. Remark that Z^1 is a projective line contained in $V_2 \cup V_3$. Moreover, $I_b^1 = I_s^1 = \{1\}$. Setting
$u_{1,1} = x_2, \qquad v_{1,1} = y_2/x_2, \qquad w_{1,1} = z_2;$
$u_{1,2} = y_2, \qquad v_{1,2} = x_2/y_2, \qquad w_{1,2} = z_2;$
$u_{1,3} = x_3, \qquad v_{1,3} = y_3/x_3, \qquad w_{1,3} = z_3;$
$u_{1,4} = y_3, \qquad v_{1,4} = x_3/y_3, \qquad w_{1,4} = z_3.$
we conclude that the lemma holds for $k = 1$.

Assume $|\alpha| = k$. If $Z^{\alpha_b} \supset S^k$, $[Z^{\alpha_s} \supset S^k]$ we withdraw α from I_b^k $[I_s^k]$ and include α_b into I_b^{k+1} and I_s^{k+1}. Defining $u_{\alpha_b,i}, v_{\alpha_b,i}, w_{\alpha_b,i}$ in such a way that π^{α_b} is as proposed in this Lemma, we conclude that
$u_{\alpha_b,3} = u_{\alpha,3} = u_{\alpha,1}w_{\alpha,1}^{-e_{\alpha\pi}} = u_{\alpha_b,1}w_{\alpha_b,1}^{-e_{\alpha_b\pi}},$
$v_{\alpha_b,3} = v_{\alpha,3}u_{\alpha,3}^{-1} = v_{\alpha,1}w_{\alpha,1}^{e_\alpha}u_{\alpha,1}^{-1}w_{\alpha,1}^{e_{\alpha\pi}} = v_{\alpha,1}u_{\alpha,1}^{-1}w_{\alpha,1}^{e_\alpha+e_{\alpha\pi}} = v_{\alpha_b,1}w_{\alpha_b,1}^{e_{\alpha_b}}$
and $w_{\alpha_b,3} = w_{\alpha,3} = w_{\alpha,1} = w_{\alpha_b,1}$. □

Let $\pi^{(\alpha)} : E^{(\alpha)} \to Z^\alpha$ be the restriction of π^α. Let C be an irreducible curve of $E^{(\alpha)}$. We say that C is *well behaved* if C is a fiber of $\pi^{(\alpha)}$ or C is the graph of a section of $\pi^{(\alpha)}$ such that $C \cap Z_b^\alpha = \emptyset$ and C intersects Z_s^α at exactly one point with multiplicity e_α.

We are now able to state the main theorem of this section. We will prove it at the end of the section.

Theorem 17. *Let M be a germ of a complex analytic manifold at a point o. Let N be a smooth surface of M. Let S be a singular surface of M. Let $\pi : \widetilde{M} \to M$ be the second sequence of blow-ups. Then $\Sigma_o^N(S) = \Sigma_o^N$ if and only if one of the following conditions is verified:*

(a) *The tangent cone of S is not a union of planes*
(b) *There is an integer k and an irreducible component of $S^k \cap E^k$ that is not well behaved.*
(c) *There is a regular point o_1 of $\widetilde{N}$ such that $\Sigma_{o_1}^{\widetilde{N}}(\widetilde{S}) \supset \Sigma_{o_1}^{\widetilde{N}}$.*

We have contact transformations

$$\pi_{k+1} : \mathbb{P}^*\langle M^{k+1}/N^{k+1}\rangle \to \mathbb{P}^*\langle M^k/N^k\rangle,$$

$k \geq 0$, such that the diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{P}^*\langle M^k/N^k\rangle & \overset{\pi_{k+1}}{\longleftarrow} & \mathbb{P}^*\langle M^{k+1}/N^{k+1}\rangle \\ \pi_{M^k}\downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_{M^{k+1}} \\ M^k & \overset{\pi^{k+1}}{\longleftarrow} & M^{k+1} \end{array}$$

commute. Set $\tau^k = \pi^2 \circ \cdots \circ \pi^k : M^k \to M^1$,

$$\tau_k = \pi_2 \circ \cdots \circ \pi_k : P^*\langle M^k/N^k\rangle \to P^*\langle M^1/N^1\rangle, \qquad k \geq 2.$$

Let $\alpha \in I_b^k \cup I_s^k$. Let $i \in \{1,2,3,4\}$. There is a system of coordinates $(u_{\alpha,i}, v_{\alpha,i}, w_{\alpha,i}; \xi_{\alpha,i} : \eta_{\alpha,i} : \zeta_{\alpha,i})$ on $W_{\alpha,i} = \pi_{M^k}^{-1}(U_{\alpha,i})$ such that

$$\xi_{\alpha,i}\frac{du_{\alpha,i}}{u_{\alpha,i}} + \eta_{\alpha,i}\frac{dv_{\alpha,i}}{v_{\alpha,i}} + \zeta_{\alpha,i}dw_{\alpha,i} \tag{26}$$

is the restriction to $W_{\alpha,i}$ of the canonical 1-form of $T^*\langle M^k/N^k\rangle$.

Lemma 18. *If $|\alpha| = k$ and $\alpha > 1$, $\tau_k(W_{\alpha,i} \cap \pi_{M^k}^{-1}(E^k)) \subset W_{1,1} \cap \pi_{M^1}^{-1}(Z^1)$, $i = 1,2$ and $\tau_k(W_{\alpha,i} \cap \pi_{M^k}^{-1}(E^k)) \subset W_{1,3} \cap \pi_{M^1}^{-1}(Z^1)$, $i = 3,4$. Moreover, the restriction of τ_k to $W_{\alpha,i} \cap \pi_{M^k}^{-1}(E^k)$ is given by*

$$w_{1,1} = w_{\alpha,1} = w_{\alpha,2},\ w_{1,3} = w_{\alpha,3} = w_{\alpha,4},\ \zeta_{1,1} = \zeta_{\alpha,1} = \zeta_{\alpha,2},\ \zeta_{1,3} = \zeta_{\alpha,3} = \zeta_{\alpha,4};$$

$$\xi_{1,i} = n_{\alpha_\pi}\xi_{\alpha,i} - n_\alpha\eta_{\alpha,i}, \qquad \eta_{1,i} = d_\alpha\eta_{\alpha,i} - d_{\alpha_\pi}\xi_{\alpha,i}, \qquad \text{if } i = 1,3;$$

$$\xi_{1,i} = n_\alpha\eta_{\alpha,i} - n_{\alpha_\omega}\xi_{\alpha,i}, \qquad \eta_{1,i} = d_{\alpha_\omega}\xi_{\alpha,i} - d_\alpha\eta_{\alpha,i}, \qquad \text{if } i = 2,4.$$

Proof. If $i = 1,3$, the pull-back by $\pi_{k+1}|_{W_{\alpha_b,i}}$ of (26) equals

$$(\xi_{\alpha,i} + \eta_{\alpha,i})\frac{du_{\alpha_b,i}}{u_{\alpha_b,i}} + \eta_{\alpha,i}\frac{dv_{\alpha_b,i}}{v_{\alpha_b,i}} + \zeta_{\alpha_b,i}dw_{\alpha_b,i}.$$

Hence $\pi_{k+1}|_{W_{\alpha_b,i} \cap \pi_{M^k}^{-1}(E^k)}$ is given by the relations

$$w_{\alpha,i} = w_{\alpha_b,i} \qquad \xi_{\alpha,i} = \xi_{\alpha_b,i} - \eta_{\alpha_b,i}, \qquad \eta_{\alpha,i} = \eta_{\alpha_b,i}, \qquad \zeta_{\alpha,i} = \zeta_{\alpha_b,i}.$$

Therefore the restriction of τ_{k+1} to $W_{\alpha_b,i} \cap \pi_{M^{k+1}}^{-1}(E^{k+1})$ is given by

$$\xi_{1,i} = n_{\alpha_\pi}\xi_{\alpha,i} - n_\alpha\eta_{\alpha,i} = n_{\alpha_\pi}\xi_{\alpha_b,i} - (n_\alpha + n_{\alpha_\pi})\eta_{\alpha_b,i} = n_{\alpha_{b\pi}}\xi_{\alpha_b,i} - n_{\alpha_b}\eta_{\alpha_b,i},$$

$$\eta_{1,i} = d_\alpha\eta_{\alpha,i} - d_{\alpha_\pi}\xi_{\alpha,i} = (d_\alpha + d_{\alpha_\pi})\eta_{\alpha_b,i} + d_{\alpha_\pi}\xi_{\alpha_b,i} = d_{\alpha_b}\eta_{\alpha_b,i} + d_{\alpha_{b\pi}}\xi_{\alpha_b,i}$$

and $w_{1,i} = w_{\alpha_b,i}$, $\zeta_{1,i} = \zeta_{\alpha_b,i}$. □

There is a canonical embedding of $\mathbb{P}^*\langle E^k/Z^k\rangle$ into $\mathbb{P}^*\langle M^k/N^k\rangle$. Moreover,

$$\pi^k(E^k) \subset Z^{k-1}, \qquad \pi_k(\mathbb{P}^*\langle E^k/Z^k\rangle) \subset \mathbb{P}^*\langle M^{k-1}/N^{k-1}\rangle \cap \pi_{M^{k-1}}^{-1}(Z^{k-1}).$$

Hence $\tau_k(\mathbb{P}^*\langle E^k/Z^k\rangle) \subset \mathbb{P}^*\langle M^1/N^1\rangle \cap \pi_{M^1}^{-1}(Z^1)$. Therefore $\pi_0 \circ \pi_1 \circ \tau_k$ defines a map $\upsilon_k : \mathbb{P}^*\langle E^k/Z^k\rangle \to \Sigma_o^N$.

Set $U'_{\alpha,i} = E^k \cap U_{\alpha,i}$, $W'_{\alpha,i} = \mathbb{P}^*\langle E^k/Z^k\rangle \cap W_{\alpha,i}$. Notice that

$$W'_{\alpha,i} = \{(u_{\alpha,i}, v_{\alpha,i}, w_{\alpha,i}; \xi_{\alpha,i} : \eta_{\alpha,i} : \zeta_{\alpha,i}) : u_{\alpha,i} = \xi_{\alpha,i} = 0\}$$

and $U'_{\alpha,i} = \{(u_{\alpha,i}, v_{\alpha,i}, w_{\alpha,i}) : u_{\alpha,i} = 0\}$. Moreover,

$$\eta_{\alpha,i}\frac{dv_{\alpha,i}}{v_{\alpha,i}} + \zeta_{\alpha,i}dw_{\alpha,i}$$

is the restriction to $W'_{\alpha,i}$ of the canonical 1-form of $T^*\langle E^k/Z^k\rangle$.

Lemma 19. *If $|\alpha| = k$, υ_k is given by*

$$\eta = (-1)^{i+1}e_\alpha\eta_{\alpha,i} - w_{\alpha,i}\zeta_{\alpha,i}, \qquad \zeta = \zeta_{\alpha,i}, \qquad \text{if } \ i = 1, 2,$$
$$\zeta = (-1)^{i+1}e_\alpha\eta_{\alpha,i} - w_{\alpha,i}\zeta_{\alpha,i}, \qquad \eta = \zeta_{\alpha,i}, \qquad \text{if } \ i = 3, 4.$$

Proof. Assume $\alpha > 1$. Let

$$\xi\frac{dx}{x} + \eta dy + \zeta dz, \quad \xi_2\frac{dx_2}{x_2} + \eta_2\frac{dy_2}{y_2} + \zeta_2 dz_2, \quad \xi_3\frac{dx_3}{x_3} + \eta_3\frac{dy_3}{y_3} + \zeta_3 dz_3$$

be the canonical 1-form of $T^*\langle M/N\rangle$, the restriction to $\pi^{-1}_{M^0}(V_i)$ of the canonical 1-form of $T^*\langle M^0/N^0\rangle$, $i = 2, 3$. By Lemma 18 the restriction of τ_k to $W'_{\alpha,i}$ is given by

$$w_{1,i} = w_{\alpha,i}, \qquad \xi_{1,i} = (-1)^i n_\alpha\eta_{\alpha,i}, \qquad \eta_{1,i} = (-1)^{i+1}d_\alpha\eta_{\alpha,i}, \qquad \zeta_{1,i} = \zeta_{\alpha,i},$$

i=1,2,3,4. The result follows from the fact that the restriction of π_0 to $\mathbb{P}^*\langle M^0/N^0\rangle \cap \pi^{-1}_{M^0}(E^0)$ is given by

$$\eta = \eta_2 - \xi_2 - z_2\zeta_2, \ \zeta = \zeta_2; \qquad \eta = \zeta_3, \ \zeta = \eta_3 - \xi_3 - z_3\zeta_3,$$

and π_1 is given by

$$z_2 = w_{1,1}, \xi_2 = \xi_{1,1} - \eta_{1,1}, \eta_2 = \eta_{1,1}, \zeta_2 = \zeta_{1,1};$$
$$z_2 = w_{1,2}, \xi_2 = \eta_{1,2}, \eta_2 = \xi_{1,2} - \eta_{1,2}, \zeta_2 = \zeta_{1,2};$$
$$z_3 = w_{1,3}, \xi_3 = \xi_{1,3} - \eta_{1,3}, \eta_3 = \eta_{1,3}, \zeta_3 = \zeta_{1,3};$$
$$z_3 = w_{1,4}, \xi_3 = \eta_{1,4}, \eta_3 = \xi_{1,4} - \eta_{1,4}, \zeta_3 = \zeta_{1,4}.$$

The proof in the case $\alpha < 1$ is similar. Remark that $e_{\alpha^{-1}} = e_\alpha$. □

Lemma 20. *For each α and each curve C of $E^{(\alpha)}$, C intersects Z^α.*

Proof. Assume that C does not intersect Z^α. The intersection of C with $U'_{\alpha,3}$ is defined by a polynomial $\sum_{i=0}^{\ell} a_i(w_{\alpha,3})v^i_{\alpha,3}$. Hence $a_0 \in \mathbb{C}^*$.

There is an integer $\mu \geq 0$ such that $C \cap U'_{\alpha,1}$ is given by the polynomial

$$w^\mu_{\alpha,1}(\sum_{i=0}^{\ell} a_i(w^{-1}_{\alpha,1})w^{e_\alpha i}_{\alpha,1}v^i_{\alpha,1}).$$

Since C does not intersect Z^α, $\mu = 0$. The intersection of C with $U'_{\alpha,2}$ is defined by

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\ell} a_i(w^{-1}_{\alpha,2})w^{e_\alpha i}_{\alpha,2}v^{\ell-i}_{\alpha,2}.$$

Hence there is $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$ such that $a_\ell(t) = \lambda t^{e_\alpha \ell}$. Finally, $C \cap U'_{\alpha,4}$ is given by $\sum_{i=0}^{\ell} a_i(w_{\alpha,4})v^{\ell-i}_{\alpha,4}$. Therefore $a_\ell \in \mathbb{C}^*$, which leads to a contradiction. □

Lemma 21. *Let C be an irreducible curve of $E^{(\alpha)}$. The image by υ_k of $\Gamma = \mathbb{P}^*_C\langle E^k/N^k\rangle$ is different from Σ^N_o if and only if C is well behaved*

Proof. Assume $\upsilon_k(\Gamma)$ is different from Σ^N_o. Set
$p = -\eta\zeta^{-1},\ p_i = -\eta_{\alpha,i}\zeta^{-1}_{\alpha,i}$, if $i = 1, 2$;
$p = -\zeta\eta^{-1},\ p_i = -\eta_{\alpha,i}\zeta^{-1}_{\alpha,i}$, if $i = 3, 4$.
The restriction of υ_k to $W'_{\alpha,i}$ is given by

$$p = (-1)^{i+1}e_\alpha p_i + w_{\alpha,i}.$$

By Lemma 20, C intersects Z^k at a point o_1. Let C_1 be a branch of the germ of C at o_1. Then $C_1 = \{w_{\alpha,i} = c\}$ or C_1 admits one of the following parametrizations

$$v_{\alpha,i} = t^a, \quad w_{\alpha,i} = c + t^b\varepsilon; \qquad v_{\alpha,i} = t^b\varepsilon, \quad w_{\alpha,i} = c + t^a; \tag{27}$$

where $b \geq a$ and ε is a unit of $\mathbb{C}\{t\}$. In the first case C_1 is well behaved. Assume C_1 admits the first parametrization. Setting $\Gamma_1 = \mathbb{P}^*_{C_1}\langle E^k/Z^k\rangle$, Γ_1 admits a local parametrization given by (27) and $p_i = (t^b/a)(b\varepsilon + t\varepsilon')$. Therefore $\upsilon_k(\Gamma_1)$ contains the set of points p such that

$$p = c + t^b[((-1)^{i+1}e_\alpha(b/a) + 1)\varepsilon + (-1)^{i+1}e_\alpha(1/a)t\varepsilon']$$

and $|t| << 1$.

This set is finite if and only if ε is the solution of an ODE $t\varepsilon' + \lambda\varepsilon = 0$. Since ε is a unit, $\lambda = 0$. Hence $a = (-1)^i be_\alpha$. Since a, b, e_α are positive, i is even. Hence C cannot intersect Z^α_s. Moreover, $C \cap U'_{\alpha,i}$ is described by an equation of the type

$$v_{\alpha,i} = (\mu w_{\alpha,i} + \nu)^{e_\alpha}, \tag{28}$$

Hence C_1 is the graph of a section of $\pi^{(\alpha)}$. The remaining case can be treated in a similar way. Remark that in each case $C = C_1$.

Let C be a section of $\pi^{(\alpha)}$ verifying the statements of the lemma. Then C is a section of the restriction $\pi^{[\alpha]}$ of $\pi^{(\alpha)}$ to $E^{(\alpha)} \setminus Z^\alpha_s$. Since $\pi^{[\alpha]}$ is a line bundle of degree e_α and C has a zero of order e_α, C is of the type (28). □

Proof of Theorem 17. If (a) $[(b), (c)]$ holds it follows from Lemma 13 [Lemma 21, Theorems 11 and 14] that $\Sigma^N_o(S) = \Sigma^N_o$.

Let $\pi_k : M_k \to M$ be the second sequence of blow ups. Let $\ell \leq k$ and let F_ℓ be an irreducible component of N_ℓ. Let F'_ℓ be the intersection of F_ℓ with the regular part of N_ℓ.

Assume $(a), (b), (c)$ do not hold. Since (c) does not hold, the closure of

$$\mathbb{P}^*_{S_k}\langle M_k/N_k\rangle \cap \pi^{-1}_{M_k}(F'_k)$$

is the closure of $\mathbb{P}^*_{S_k\cap F'_k}F'_k$. We show by induction in ℓ, using theorems 11 and 14 that

$$\mathbb{P}^*_{S_{k-\ell}}\langle M_{k-\ell}/N_{k-\ell}\rangle \cap \pi^{-1}_{M_{k-\ell}}(F_{k-\ell})$$

is the closure of $\mathbb{P}^*_{S_{k-\ell}\cap F'_{k-\ell}} F'_{k-\ell}$, for each $\ell \leq k$.

Since (a) does not hold, it follows from Theorem 14 that $\pi_1(\mathbb{P}^*_{S_1\cap F_1} F_1)$ is finite. Since (b) does not hold, it follows from Lemma 21 that $\upsilon_\ell(\mathbb{P}^*_{S_\ell\cap F_\ell} F_\ell)$ is finite, for $2 \leq \ell \leq k$. □

8. Main Results

22. Let N_0 be a smooth surface of a germ of a manifold M_0 of dimension 3 at a point o. Let S_0 be a surface of M_0 that does not contain N_0.

Let N_k be a normal crossings divisor of a manifold M_k of dimension 3. Let S_k be a singular surface of M_k that does not contains any irreducible component of N_k. Assume $\mathrm{Sing}^{N_k}(S_k)$ does not intersect the singular locus of N_k.

Let $\sigma \in \mathrm{Sing}^{N_k}(S_k) \cap N_k$. Assume the germ of $\mathrm{Sing}^{N_k}(S_k) \cap N_k$ at σ is not smooth or is not transversal to N_k. If S_k is non degenerated at σ, we blow up M_k at σ followed by the first sequence of blow ups. Otherwise we perform the second sequence of blow ups at σ. After modifying M_k at each point of the finite set $\mathrm{Sing}^{N_k}(S_k)\cap N_k$, we obtain a map $\pi_{k+1} : M_{k+1} \to M_k$. Set $N_{k+1} = \pi_{k+1}^{-1}(N_k)$. Let S_{k+1} be the strict transform of S_k by π_{k+1}. Applying, accordingly, the first sequence or the second sequence of blow ups, we guarantee that $\mathrm{Sing}^{N_{k+1}}(S_{k+1})$ does not intersect the singular locus of N_{k+1}.

Lemma 23. *There is an integer k such that each connected component of $Sing^{N_k}(S_k)$ is a smooth curve transversal to N_k. Hence the procedure described in paragraph 22 will terminate after a finite number of steps.*

Proof. Notice that, for each ℓ, $\mathrm{Sing}^{N_{\ell+1}}(S_{\ell+1})$ is the strict transform by $\pi_{\ell+1}$ of $\mathrm{Sing}^{N_\ell}(S_\ell)$.

Let C be an irreducible singular curve of a germ of manifold M of dimension 3 at a point o. Let $\gamma : (\mathbb{C}, 0) \to C$ be the normalization of C. Let Γ be the semi group of the orders of the functions $\gamma^* f$, $f \in \mathcal{O}_{M,o}$. Let m_C be the smallest positive integer that belongs to Γ. The integer m_C equals the multiplicity of C. Let n_C be the infimum of $\Gamma \setminus (m_C)$.

Let $\widetilde{C}$ be the strict transform of C by the blow up of M along a smooth line that contains o. Then

$$m_{\widetilde{C}} < m_C \qquad \text{or} \qquad m_{\widetilde{C}} = m_C \text{ and } n_{\widetilde{C}} \leq n_C.$$

Hence the invariant does not get worse. Let $\widetilde{C}$ be the strict transform of C by the blow up of M along o. Then

$$m_{\widetilde{C}} < m_C \qquad \text{or} \qquad m_{\widetilde{C}} = m_C \text{ and } n_{\widetilde{C}} < n_C.$$

Hence the invariant improves. The facts above show that there is an integer k such that $\mathrm{Sing}^{N_k}(S_k)$ is a union of smooth curves. Hence there is an integer ℓ such that $\mathrm{Sing}^{N_\ell}(S_\ell)$ is a union of smooth curves transversal to N_ℓ.

Let C, C' be two curves of M. Let $m(C, C')$ be the number of blow ups necessary to separate C and C'. Let $\widetilde{C}$ $[\widetilde{C}']$ be the strict transform of $C[C']$ by the blow up of M along a smooth line that contains o. Then

$$m(\widetilde{C}, \widetilde{C}') \leq m(C, C').$$

Let $\widetilde{C}$ $[\widetilde{C}']$ be the strict transform of $C[C']$ by the blow up of M along o. Then

$$m(\widetilde{C}, \widetilde{C}') < m(C, C').$$

Hence there is an integer m such that each connected component of $\mathrm{Sing}^{N_m}(S_m)$ is a smooth curve transversal to N_m. □

Theorem 24. *Let $M_0 \leftarrow M_1 \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow M_k$ be the sequence of morphisms described in paragraph 22. Then $\Sigma_o^N(S) = \Sigma_o^N$ if and only if one of the following statements holds.*

(a) *somewhere along the process a curve that is not well behaved is produced,*
(b) *there is $\sigma \in (S_k \cap N_k) \backslash \mathrm{Sing}^{N_k}(S_k)$ such that $\sigma \in \Xi_\rho^N(S)$ for some projection ρ compatible with N,*
(c) *there is $\sigma \in S_k \cap N_k \cap \mathrm{Sing}^{N_k}(S_k)$ such that $\sigma \in \overline{\Xi_\rho^N(S) \setminus Sing^N(S)}$ for some projection ρ compatible with N.*

Proof. Assume (a) holds. Then there is an integer ℓ such that a non well behaved curve is produced along the second sequence of blow ups $M_\ell \leftarrow M_{\ell+1}$. By Theorem 17 there is $\sigma_\ell \in S_\ell \cap N_\ell$ such that $\Sigma_{\sigma_\ell}^{N_\ell}(S_\ell) = \Sigma_{\sigma_\ell}^{N_\ell}$. We prove by induction in n, using Theorem 17, that for each $n \leq \ell$ there is $\sigma_{\ell-n} \in S_{\ell-n} \cap N_{\ell-n}$ such that $\Sigma_{\sigma_{\ell-n}}^{N_{\ell-n}}(S_{\ell-n}) = \Sigma_{\sigma_{\ell-n}}^{N_{\ell-n}}$.

Assume (b) [(c)] holds. By Theorem 6 [Theorem 7] there is $\sigma_k \in S_k \cap N_k$ such that $\Sigma_{\sigma_k}^{N_k}(S_k) = \Sigma_{\sigma_k}^{N_k}$. We repeat the argument of the previous paragraph.

Assume $(a), (b), (c)$ do not hold. Since $(b), (c)$ do not hold, $\Sigma_\sigma^{N_k}(S_k)$ is finite for each $\sigma \in S_k \cap N_k$. We can now show by induction in ℓ, using Theorem 17 and the fact that (a) does not hold, that $\Sigma_\sigma^{N_{k-\ell}}(S_{k-\ell})$is finite for each $\sigma \in S_{k-\ell} \cap N_{k-\ell}$ and each $\ell \leq k$. □

Theorem 25. *Let S be a surface of the germ of a complex manifold M at a point o. Assume $\Sigma_o(S)$ is finite. Let us blow up M at o. Let us apply the procedure described in paragraph 22 at each singular point σ of the strict transform S_0 of S that belongs to the exceptional divisor of the blow up. We obtain is this way a manifold M_n, a normal crossings divisor N_n and a surface S_n such that at each point σ of $S_n \cap N_n$, the germ of S_n at σ is a quasi ordinary singularity relative to a projection ρ compatible with N_n. Moreover, $\Delta_\rho S_n \cup \rho(N_n)$ is a normal crossings divisor at $\rho(o)$.*

Proof. By Lemma 23, $\mathrm{Sing}^{N_k}(S_k)$ is smooth and transversal to N_k at smooth points of N_k.

Let $\sigma \in S_k \cap N_k^\sigma$. The procedure of paragraph 22 relies on the procedure of paragraph 10. Therefore $\Delta_{\rho_k}(S_k) \subset \rho_k(N_k)$ for each projection ρ_k

compatible with the germ of N_k at σ. Therefore S_k is quasi ordinary at the singular points of N_k.

By Theorem 24, $\Sigma_\sigma^{N_k}(S_k)$ is finite for each $\sigma \in S_k \cap N_k$. By Theorem 6, S_k is quasi ordinary at the regular points of N_k that do not belong to $\mathrm{Sing}^{N_k}(S_k)$. By Theorem 7, S_k is quasi ordinary at the points of $\mathrm{Sing}^{N_k}(S_k) \cap N_k$. □

Let M be an affine chart with coordinates (x, y, z). Let $\rho : M \to X$ be the linear projection $(x, y, z) \mapsto (x, y)$. Set $o = (x, y, z)$, $\sigma = (0, 0)$. Let S be a surface of M. Let $\pi_1 : M_1 \to M$ be the blow up of M at o. Set $E_1 = N_1 = \pi_1^{-1}(o)$. Let S_1 be the strict transform of S by π_1.

Example 26. Let S be the surface defined by the polynomial

$$z^2 - x^2(x + y^2).$$

Notice that $C_o(S) = \{z = 0\}$ and

$$C_\sigma(\Delta_z(S)) = C_\sigma(\rho(\mathrm{Sing}(S))) = \{x = 0\}.$$

By Theorem 1.4.4.1 of [6], $(0 : 0 : 1) \in \Sigma_o(S) \subset \Sigma_\ell$, where

$$\ell = \{x = z = 0\} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \Sigma_\ell = \{\eta = 0\}.$$

Set $x = x_1y_1, y = y_1, z = y_1z_1$. Then o_ℓ is the origin of the chart W_1 with coordinates (x_1, y_1, z_1). Moreover, $N_1 \cap W_1 = \{y_1 = 0\}$ and $S_1 \cap W_1$ is defined by the polynomial

$$z_1^2 - x_1^2y_1(x_1 + y_1).$$

Since $C_{o_\ell}(S_1) = \{z_1 = 0\}$, S_1 is non degenerated at o_ℓ.

Let $\pi_2 : M_2 \to M_1$ be the blow up of M_1 at o_ℓ.

Set $x_1 = x_2y_2, y_1 = y_2, z_1 = y_2z_2$. If W_2 is the affine chart with coordinates (x_2, y_2, z_2), $N_2 \cap W_2 = \{y_2 = 0\}$ and $S_2 \cap W_2$ is defined by the polynomial

$$z_2^2 - x_2^2y_2^2(x_2 + 1).$$

Since $\Delta_{z_2}(S_2) = \{x_2y_2(x_2+1)\}$, $\Sigma^{N_2}_{(-1,0,0)}(S_2) = \{\eta = 0\}$. Hence $\Sigma_o(S) = \Sigma_\ell$.

Example 27. Let S be the swallowtail surface, defined by the polynomial

$$256z^3 - 27y^4 - 128x^2z^2 + 144xy^2z + 16x^4z - 4x^3y^2.$$

Notice that $C_o(S) = \{z = 0\}$ and

$$C_\sigma(\Delta_z(S)) = C_\sigma(\rho(\mathrm{Sing}(S))) = \{y = 0\}.$$

By Theorem 1.4.4.1 of [6], $(0 : 0 : 1) \in \Sigma_o(S) \subset \Sigma_\ell$, where

$$\Sigma_\ell = \{\xi = 0\}.$$

Set $x = x_1, y = x_1y_1, z = x_1z_1$. Then o_ℓ is the origin of the chart W_1 with coordinates (x_1, y_1, z_1). Moreover, $N_1 \cap W_1 = \{x_1 = 0\}$ and $S_1 \cap W_1$ is defined by the polynomial

$$256z_1^3 - 27x_1y_1^4 - 128x_1z_1^2 + 144x_1y_1^2z_1 + 16x_1^2z_1 - 4x_1^2y_1^2.$$

Since $C_{o_\ell}(S_1) = \{z = 0\}$, S_1 is non degenerated at o_ℓ.

Let $\pi_2 : M_2 \to M_1$ be the blow up of M_1 at o_ℓ.

Set $x_1 = x_2, y_1 = x_2y_2, z_1 = x_2z_2$. If W_2 is the chart with coordinates (x_2, y_2, z_2), $N_2 \cap W_2 = \{x_2 = 0\}$ and $S_2 \cap W_2$ is defined by the polynomial

$$256z_2^3 - 27x_2^2y_2^4 - 128z_2^2 + 144x_2y_2^2z_2 + 16z_2 - 4x_2y_2^2.$$

Since $S_2 \cap N_2 \cap W_2 = \{x_2 = z_2(4z_2 - 1) = 0\}$ and $\Delta_{z_2}(S_2) = \{x_2y_2(27x_2y_2^2 + 8) = 0\}$, we need to analyse the points $o_1 = (0, 0, 0)$ and $o_2 = (0, 0, 1/4)$. Since $\mathrm{Sing}^{N_2}(S_2)$ equals $\{y_2 = 4z_2 - 1 = 0\}$ in a neighbourhood of N_2, it follows from Theorem 6 that $\Sigma^{N_2}_{o_1}(S_2)$ is finite. Since $m_{(a,0,1/4)}(S_2)$ does not depend on a, for $|a| << 1$, it follows from Theorem 7 that $\Sigma^{N_2}_{o_2}(S_2)$ is finite.

Set $x_1 = x_3y_3, y_1 = y_3, z_1 = x_3z_3$. If W_3 is the chart with coordinates (x_3, y_3, z_3), $N_2 \cap W_3 = \{x_3y_3 = 0\}$ and $S_2 \cap W_3$ is defined by the polynomial

$$256z_3^3 - 27x_3y_3^2 - 128x_3z_3^2 + 144x_3y_3z_3 + 16x_3^2z_3 - 4x_3^2y_3.$$

Set $o_3 = (0, 0, 0)$. Since the intersection of S_2 with the singular locus N_2^σ of N_2 equals $\{o_3\}$, we need to compute $\Sigma^{N_2}_{o_3}(S_2)$.

Let $\pi_3 : M_3 \to M_2$ be the blow up of M_2 along N_2^σ.

Set $x_3 = x_4y_4, y_3 = y_4, z_3 = z_4$. If W_4 is the chart with coordinates (x_4, y_4, z_4), $E_3 \cap W_4 = \{y_4 = 0\}$, $N_3 \cap W_4 = \{x_4y_4 = 0\}$ and $S_3 \cap W_4$ is defined by the polynomial

$$256z_4^3 - 27x_4y_4^3 - 128x_4y_4z_4^2 + 144x_4y_4^2z_4 + 16x_4^2y_4^2z_4 - 4x_4^2y_4^3.$$

Since $S_3 \cap E_3 \cap W_4 = \{z_4 = 0\}$ and $\Delta_{z_4}(S_3) = \{x_4y_4(8x_4 - 27) = 0\}$, we need to analyse the points $o_4 = (0, 0, 0)$ and $o_5 = (-27/8, 0, 0)$. By Lemma 4, $\Sigma^{N_3}_{o_4}(S_3)$ is finite.

Set $x_5 = x_4 - 27/8$, $y_5 = y_4$, $z_5 = z_4 + 9y_4/32 + x_4y_4/36$. There are integers $a_1, ..., a_5$ such that S_3 is defined near o_5 by the polynomial

$$a_1z_5^3 + a_2y_5z_5^2 + a_3x_5^3y_5^3 + a_4x_5^2y_5^2z_5 + a_5x_5y_5z_5^2.$$

Now $\mathrm{Sing}^{N_3}(S_3) = \{y_5 = z_5 = 0\}$ and it is easy to check that $m_\sigma(S_3)$ does not depend on $\sigma \in \mathrm{Sing}^{N_3}(S_3)$. By Theorem 7, $\Sigma^{N_3}_{o_5}(S_3)$ is finite. By Theorem 24, $\Sigma^N_o(S) = \{(0 : 0 : 1)\}$.

Example 28. Set $N = \{x = 0\}$, $o = (0, 0, 0)$ and $\sigma = (0, 0)$. Let S be the surface defined by the polynomial

$$z^5 - x^2y.$$

The surface S is degenerated at o. We will perform the second sequence of blow ups at o. Let $\pi_1 : M_1 \to M$ be the blow up of M at o.

Set $x = x_1y_1, y = y_1, z = y_1z_1$. If W_1 is the affine open set of M_1 with coordinates (x_1, y_1, z_1), $S_1 \cap W_1$ is defined by the polynomial

$$x_1^2 - y_1^2z_1^5$$

and $S_1 \cap E_1 \cap W_1 = N_1^\sigma \cap W_1 = \{x_1 = y_1 = 0\}$.

Set $x = x_2z_2, y = y_2z_2, z = z_2$. If W_2 is the affine open set of M_1 with coordinates (x_2, y_2, z_2), $S_1 \cap W_2$ is defined by the polynomial

$$z_2^2 - x_2^2y_2,$$

$S_1 \cap E_1 \cap W_2 = \{z_2 = x_2y_2 = 0\}$ and $N_1^\sigma \cap W_2 = \{x_2 = z_2 = 0\}$.

The surface S_1 is smooth in the other chart.

Let $\pi_2 : M_2 \to M_1$ be the blow up of M_1 along N_1^σ. Set $E_2 = \pi_2^{-1}(N_1^\sigma)$. Notice that $\pi = \pi_1 \circ \pi_2 : M_2 \to M_1$ is the second sequence of blow ups of S. Since S_2 is quasi ordinary at each point it is quite easy to verify that $\Sigma_\sigma^{N_2}(S_2)$ is finite for each $\sigma \in S_2$.

It follows from Theorem 24 that $\Sigma_o(S) = \Sigma_o^N$ because the curve $S_2 \cap E_2$ has a singularity, hence one of its irreducible components is not well behaved.

This work was partially supported by the Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia (Portuguese Foundation for Science and Technology) through the projects UID/MAT/04561/2013 (CMAF-CIU) and UID/MAT/00297/2013 (Centro de Matemática e Aplicações).

References

[1] A. Araujo and O. Neto - *Limits of Tangents of a Quasi-Ordinary Hypersurface*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., Vol. 143 (2013), pp 1-11.

[2] A. Araujo, J. Cabral and O. Neto - *Desingularization of Legendrian Surfaces*, Submitted, arXiv:1510.09126 [math.AG]

[3] J. Cabral, O. Neto and P.C.Silva - *On the Resolution Graph of a Plane Curve*, Submitted, arXiv:1409.3948 [math.AG]

[4] J.-P. Henry, Lê Dung Trang, *Limites d'espaces Tangents*, Seminaire Norguet, Springer Lecture Notes 482.

[5] Lê D. T., *Limites d'espaces Tangents sur les surfaces*, Proc. Symp. on Algebraic singularities, Rheinhardtsbrunn 1978, Academia Leopoldina.

[6] Lê D. T., B. Teissier, *Sur la Géometrie des Surfaces Complexes I, Tangentes Exceptionnelles*, Am. Journal of Math., Vol. 101, pp 420-452.

[7] J. Lipman - *Quasi-ordinary singularities of embedded surfaces*, Ph.D. thesis, Harvard University, 1965.

[8] O. Neto - *Blow up for a Holonomic System*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. 29 (1993), no. 2, 167-233.

[9] O. Neto - *Equisingularity and Legendrian Curves*, Bull. London Math. Soc. 33 (2001), 527-534.

Centro de Matemática e Aplicações (CMA), FCT, UNL and Departamento de Matemática, FCT, UNL, 2829-516 Caparica, Portugal

E-mail address: jpbc@fct.unl.pt

CMAF, Faculdade de Ciências, Universidade de Lisboa, Campo Grande 1749-016, Lisboa, Portugal

E-mail address: orlando60@gmail.com